UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,660	06/30/2003	Paul F. Dietrich	6561/53769	1980
30505 7590 10/17/2007 LAW OFFICE OF MARK J. SPOLYAR 2200 CESAR CHAVEZ STREET			EXAMINER	
			HARPER, KEVIN C	
SUITE 8 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94124			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Coffice Action Summan	10/611,660	DIETRICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Harper	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	uly 2007.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-24 and 26-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>5-18,20-24 and 26-34</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		,				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/07.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/611,660 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed concerning claims 1-4 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 1. Applicant argued that Challener in view of Bar does not disclose querying the address to obtain a port. However, the method of Bar uses the traceroute program to provide the location of a malicious host (para. 89); Quarterman notes that the traceroute program operates using queries to find the source (para. 7).
- 2. In response to applicant's argument that Bar is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Bar discloses network security by implementing a method of detecting a host in order to prevent that host from communicating within the network.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 3 objected to because "the polling step" lacks antecedent bases. Appropriate correction is required.

Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on July 31, 2007 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US 10/692,699 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,660 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Challener et al. (US 2003/0186679) in view of Bar et al. (US 2005/0021740) and Quarterman et al. (US 2002/0073231).

- 4. Regarding claims 1-4, Challener discloses a method for detecting rogue access points (figs. 1-3; para. 22) in a computer network environment comprising a wired computer network (item 10). The method comprises detecting a rogue access point (para. 22) connected to the wired network (para. 21, lines 3-4).
- 5. However, Challener does not disclose the network has a network device to switch or route data and that the data units includes source and destination addresses. One skilled in the art would recognize that LANs typically send data packets that have source and destination addresses (Ethernet, IP, etc.) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit Ethernet or IP packets in the network of Challener in order to provide a standardized connectivity among computers.
- 6. Further, Challener does not disclose determining an address of a rogue client, identifying an associated port and disabling the port. Bar discloses determining and identifying a rogue client by its address (paras. 25 and 29), identifying a port associated with the rogue client, and disabling the port (paras. 30 and 90; para. 89; note: traceroute utility which queries addresses to determine a route as evidenced by Quarterman, para. 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to disable a port associated with a rogue client in the invention of Challener in order to terminate the unauthorized access (para. 21).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5-18, 20-24 and 26-34 allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at 571-272-2092. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications Application/Control Number: 10/611,660

Art Unit: 2616

11,660 Page 5

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to

the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

October 14, 2007